

The "Moon Landing Hoax Conspiracy" Exposed

"The moon landing was a hoax." This conspiracy theory has gained momentum of late, yet, as *National Vanguard's* science editor, John Clarke, points out, it is simply untrue, and not only serves to denigrate what is possibly the White race's greatest achievement, but also serves to ridicule a real hoax, that of the Nazi 'gas chambers.'



An Anti-White Hoax: The "Moon Landing Hoax Conspiracy" Exposed

July 20, 1969 has become a highly controversial and emotional date for different people, for different reasons.

- * To White people who are proud of the accomplishments of their race, this date is when man first walked on the moon. That day represents the peak of Western Civilization.
- * To those who hate White civilization, this date is an insufferable blight that has to be falsified in the historical record. It is to them unacceptable that the main brains behind the moon landings were Nazi German SS officers such as Wernher von Braun. It is also Politically Incorrect that White people have sole claim to this achievement; thus it should be (1) ignored, (2) selectively falsified, or (3) flatly denied. This third treatment is the topic in this column.

It is perfectly normal for any event of major proportions to attract a host of conspiracy theories, and the moon landing is no exception. What is unusual is the support these theories received from influential circles that are hostile to Western Civilization. For the most part, published books downplay the role of captured German scientists in the Apollo program. Sometimes though, media propaganda goes all the way.

landing craft, actions performed outside the landing craft, and even the powdery dust surface on which astronauts walked.

Fox TV, not to be outdone, has produced an hour long documentary in which they attempt to prove that the moon landing never happened. This was repeatedly shown on prime-time TV.

By February 2006, the moon landing deniers had spread sufficient propoganda that up to 20 percent of Americans do not believe man ever went to the moon.

Capricorn-1

In 1978, the Jewish Hollywood producer, Paul Lazarus, released his movie, *Capricorn-1*, in which the U.S. sent a manned mission to Mars — and faked it by shooting the entire trip and exploration in a movie studio. The show was hosted by *X-Files* actor Mitch Pileggi. The studio set and terrain were deliberately made to look like the moon landing. Similarities included phrases spoken by astronauts, desert scenery, the



The caption on this movie poster says: "Would you be shocked to find out that the greatest moment in our recent history may not have happened at all?"

Who are the Deniers?

The main players (eagerly supported by the mass-media) appear to consist of people who mistrust their government – (good instincts so far), but reveal an unfortunate lack of objectivity and dearth of knowledge in basic science and astrophysics.

Astonishingly, they even include a few people with a scientific or engineering background, such as Bill Kaysing, who in his youth was a junior engineer at Rocketdyne. He played the prime role as consultant for the hour-long Fox TV Moon-Landing-

continued on page 22

The "Moon Landing Hoax Conspiracy" Exposed

from page 21

Hoax documentary. Kaysing even claims to know exactly where the TV production of the moon landings were filmed: "(The military) Area-51 is one of the most heavily-guarded facilities in the United States. If you went in and tried to get some information you could be shot and killed without any warning... Undoubtedly the moon sets are still there."

How the Hoax is Allegedly Proven

All this is great for TV rankings and inflated egos of moon landing deniers, but its real danger is the falsifying of Aryan history - erasing the single event that is the pinnacle of White Civilization.

Many "proofs" are offered by the moon landing deniers to substantiate the moon landing hoax theory. Here are some of the central arguments usually sprouted:

1. *Despite the clarity of deep space, stars are missing from the black sky in all photographs taken on the moon.*

Answer: Starlight is too faint to visibly register on a photographic plate if another object is in view and in direct sunlight. No photos taken of objects in sunlight in space EVER show stars, on the moon or elsewhere.

2. *The American flag was waving, even though there is no atmosphere on the moon.*

Answer: The US flags were designed with in-built springs to make them extend even though there is no wind, so the general appearance of a waving flag is not unexpected. Other than that the flag cannot "move" unless an astronaut is handling the flag. No video exists of any moon flag "moving" without an astronaut physically shunting the flagpole or flag.

3. *No blast crater is visible beneath the Lunar Lander where its powerful rocket engine had fired.*

Answer: The descent stage engine bell is about 5 feet across at the bottom. The thrust of the engine at touchdown was about 3,000 pounds, so the blast pressure of the rocket exhaust was only about one pound per square inch; not nearly enough to produce any sort of blast crater.

4. *If the Lunar Excursion Module (LEM) was extremely unstable and had so many problems flying during tests on Earth, then how could the LEM land six times flawlessly in the alien environment of the moon?*

Answer: Any rocket such as the LEM has problems during its development phase. Rockets are inherently unstable and therefore have control mechanisms to correct this. As with all other successful rockets, the LEM overcame these difficulties and performed very well indeed.

5. *To have a powerful rocket engine blast the surface of the moon; blasting away all of the dust, and then find footprints surrounding the Lunar Lander; that to me would be impossibility - Kaysing.*

Answer: With a rocket jet pressure of only one pound per square inch, dust surrounding the LEM would be essentially untouched, except for some thrown out from directly below the nozzle, which would add to dust already around the LEM.

6. *When the LEM lifted off from the moon, there was no exhaust plume.*

The Lunar Lander used a mixture of hydrazine and dinitrogen tetroxide which ignite upon contact and produce a product that is transparent. That's why you cannot see the flame.

7. *It is impossible for man to survive the deadly radiation of deep space. "Before they got half way to the moon, they would have picked up a death-dose of radiation."*

Answer: The Apollo spaceships traveled quite quickly through the radiation belts - only an hour or so, which is not nearly enough time to get a lethal dose of radiation.

8. *When the speed of NASA footage is doubled, astronauts appear to be running as in Earth's gravity.*

Answer: Yes indeed, though that proves nothing at all ... unless you also notice that the astronauts and Lunar Rover kick up dust as they go. The dust goes up in a perfect parabolic arc and falls back down to the surface, unlike back on Earth where dust billows up and slowly settles down as we know fine dust to do in our atmosphere. Once again the deniers bite the dust.

9. *What is photographed in the shadow of the LEM should be in pitch black darkness because the sun is the only light source, yet shadows are well lit.*

Answer: Wrong. There is another major light source: The moon itself! All around the landing site is a brightly lit desert scene which strongly reflects sunlight into every shadow.

10. *The astronaut and other deaths during the Apollo*

continued on next page



from previous page

program were no accident. They were intentionally silenced because they knew too much. - "No, it was no accident. They murdered them because, you see, I found out just recently that whenever NASA was in trouble they would call on the CIA. Now we all know that the CIA has and can kill anybody they want without any feeling of conscience whatsoever. So it's my feeling that the CIA was hired by NASA to very adroitly kill Grissom, Chaffee, and White." - Bill Kaysing.

Answer: Very few folk died mysterious deaths; almost all were clearly work-related in a very high-risk profession. Only one death occurred in 1969, the year of the first moon landing. Casual accusations of murder are easy to make, but proof is totally lacking. No astronauts or NASA officials then or later made such claims.

Silent Witnesses

As Apollo 11 thundered into history, Radio Hams worldwide followed the spacecraft to the moon with amateur radio equipment. In every First World country, these eager onlookers aimed highly directional antennas and followed the Apollo heroes all the way to the moon, in awe, their equipment pointing directly at, and directly listening in to the first words spoken by Neil Armstrong from Tranquility Base.


Count in the professional astronomers, lecturers, students, and others at universities around the world who did the same thing, and the number of involved outsiders is huge. It is possible that no university with a radio dish greater than 12 ft. diameter escaped some use during these days for this purpose, because the entire world had gone moon-mad! Yet not one of these radio hams, students, or scientists ever accused NASA of conducting a moon landing hoax. They were all in a position to know.

Russians Monitored the


Landings

Not to be outdone, the Russians also followed this unwelcome U.S. progress - with excellent equipment and top scientists double-checking every step of the way. These USSR scientists and politicians accepted the Apollo moon landings as fact. Not one voice among them ever accused NASA of conducting a moon-landing hoax; and they were in a position to know.

There is no atmosphere on the moon to hide stars, yet no stars are visible in any photos taken on the moon. Photographic experts explain that this is so because reflected sunlight is so much brighter than stars. Others say this is proof that Aldrin never was on the moon.



The sun is the only source of light, but Aldrin can clearly be seen while in the shadow of the Lunar Module. NASA explains that this is because of bright light reflected from the desert sand. Others say this is proof that the moon landing is a hoax.



The Hubble Space Telescope - oops... no stars either... and we can clearly see the part of Hubble that is in shade. Why is nobody claiming that the Hubble telescope is also a hoax?

Scientific Evidence

Thousands of scientists worldwide examined the 841 lbs. of moon rock the Apollo missions brought back. Other scientists used the lunar reflector that Apollo 11 placed on the moon to measure its distance from the Earth. Moon rock is different to Earth rock in many ways; for example, moon rock is much older than Earth rock and contains many isotopes not normally found on Earth. It is not technologically possible for scientists to fake a moon rock. The moon is not capable on its own to suddenly, on July 20, 1969, reflect laser beams from a certain spot in the sea of Tranquility.

Not a single scientific paper those scientists wrote concerning moon rocks or laser measurements ever challenged the lunar-origin of the rocks or existence of the Apollo Lunar Reflectors.

NASA Stupidity?

If NASA faked the moon landings, they did so in a most inefficient manner. Instead of a few scenes and a short documentary of each mission, they released an Apollo 17 DVD set (Extended Collector's Edition) which runs to over 27 hours of movie footage. In this edition the astronaut wastrels climbed in their Moon Rover and drove over hills and vales with gay abandon to any thought

continues on page 29

The "Moon Landing Hoax Conspiracy" Exposed

continued from page 23

of economizing on background scenes. This followed hot on the heels of an Apollo 16 edition of 20 hours and an Apollo 15 edition of 12 hours. Even the Apollo 12 edition runs to over 10 hours.

At what point does it become cheaper to send men to the moon than to fake it?



Two Hoaxes?

Our racial enemies would dearly want to transfer the title "Hoax of the 20th Century" away from the Jewish Holocaust hoax that alleges that 6,000,000 Jews died in German gas chambers to the Moon Landings. Since the term "hoax" is so liberally used in both, a comparison of the two is in order:

Moon Landings Versus Gas Chambers: A Comparison			
The Apollo Moon -Landings	True?	Gas-Chambers built by Germany to kill Jews	True?
Accounts by Astronauts that were there generally agree with one another.	Yes	Accounts of "survivors" that witnessed gas chambers agree with one another even superficially.	No
There exists proof that equipment that could feasibly travel to the moon was built.	Yes	There is proof that even one gas chamber was built during the war (not tourist "replicas" after).	No
There is evidence of a financial budget that could feasibly have been used to send man to the moon.	Yes	There is evidence of a financial budget that could feasibly have been used for mass-gassing of people.	No
There is evidence of a government directive to send men to the moon.	Yes	There is evidence of a government directive to exterminate Jews in specially constructed chambers.	No
There exists chemical evidence that collected moon rocks could not feasibly have originated on Earth.	Yes	There exists chemical residue from gas chambers that is indicative that hydrogen cyanide was used.	No
Open debate is Politically Correct and the public media will not suppress debate on this issue.	Yes	Open debate is Politically Correct and the public media will not suppress debate on this issue.	No
Governments permit open public debate and do not impose harsh fines or imprisonment.	Yes	Governments permit open public debate and do not impose harsh fines or imprisonment.	No
Top officials in inner circles have always agreed with the official version of the moon landings.	Yes	Top officials in inner circles have always agreed with the official version of the holocaust.	No
People who promote the "hoax" theory are never threatened, physically assaulted or murdered.	Yes	People who promote the "hoax" theory are never threatened, physically assaulted or murdered.	No
Years after, evidence is never blatantly tampered with, nor are fundamental facts suddenly changed.	Yes	Years after, essential evidence is never tampered with, nor are fundamental facts suddenly changed.	No

The comparison is valid. Which historical event is true is clearly revealed by such a comparison; that is why it is a serious offense in many Western countries to question many aspects of the Holocaust, which cannot stand the light of technical investigation. Huge fines or imprisonment are commonplace for attempting to do this.

Today the White race faces no greater charge of immorality than the Jewish Holocaust.

Today the White race has no greater triumph in its civilization than the manned moon landings.



The controlled media delights in falsifying history on both counts. If we permit our children to believe the distortions that Hollywood foists upon us, our enemies score two of the biggest coups they could hope for; both based on re-writing history to our detriment. It is vital that we promote pride in our genuine achievements, and reject fallacious accusations that are designed to leave us feeling guilt-ridden and ineffective. It is time to remember our Aryan heroes that walked on another world.

It is time that we speak out and build our future on what is noble and true.

